

## A TRANSLATION THEOREM 1

LEMMA A.1. For all ACSP Processes  $M, M'$ , CSP Processes  $S$  and actions  $\alpha$  such that  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M'$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S$  implies there exists a CSP Process  $S'$  and event  $e$  such that  $e = m(\alpha)$  and  $S \xrightarrow{e} S'$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M' \triangleright S'$

PROOF. Proven by rule induction on  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M'$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{EvPARL} \quad M \xrightarrow{e} M' \quad e \notin E}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{e} M' \parallel_E N}$$

From tPar, we know that

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M \triangleright S \tag{1}$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N \triangleright T \tag{2}$$

$$L \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \tag{3}$$

$$(in(N) \cap out(M)) \cup (in(M) \cap out(N)) \subseteq L \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M \parallel_E N \triangleright (S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \tag{5}$$

From the reduction rule we know that

$$M \xrightarrow{e} M' \tag{6}$$

$$e \notin E \tag{7}$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (6),

$$S \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \tag{8}$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M' \triangleright S' \tag{9}$$

From Lemma A.2,

$$in(M') = in(M) \tag{10}$$

From Eq. (6) and Lemma A.3,

$$out(M') \subseteq out(M) \quad \text{from Eq. (4)} \tag{11}$$

$$(in(N) \cap out(M')) \cup (in(M') \cap out(N)) \subseteq L \tag{12}$$

By tPar and Eqs. (2), (3), (9) and (12),

$$\Gamma \vdash M' \parallel_E N \triangleright (S' \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L)$$

We also know that  $ch(L) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$  which implies that  $e \notin E, ch(L)$  and for all  $e \in \Sigma$ ,  $m(e) = e$ . These allow us to construct the reduction

$$(S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \xrightarrow{m(e)} (S' \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L)$$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{EvSYNC} \quad M \xrightarrow{e} M' \quad N \xrightarrow{e} N' \quad e \in E \quad e \neq \tau}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{e} M' \parallel_E N'}$$

From the reduction rule we know

$$M \xrightarrow{e} M' \tag{1}$$

$$N \xrightarrow{e} N' \tag{2}$$

$$e \in E \tag{3}$$

From  $tPar$ ,

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M \triangleright S \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N \triangleright T \quad (5)$$

$$L \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \quad (6)$$

$$(in(N) \cap out(M)) \cup (in(M) \cap out(N)) \subseteq L \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M \parallel_E N \triangleright (S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \quad (8)$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (4) and similarly Eqs. (2) and (5)

$$S \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \quad (9)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (10)$$

$$T \xrightarrow{m(e)} T' \quad (11)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N' \triangleright T' \quad (12)$$

From Eqs. (1) and (2) and Lemma A.2

$$in(N') = in(N) \quad (13)$$

$$in(M') = in(M) \quad (14)$$

By Lemma A.3 with Eqs. (1) and (2)

$$out(M') \subseteq out(M) \quad (15)$$

$$out(N') \subseteq out(N) \quad \text{hence by transitivity with Eq. (7)} \quad (16)$$

$$(in(N') \cap out(M')) \cup (in(M') \cap out(N')) \subseteq L \quad (17)$$

Using  $tPar$  with Eqs. (6), (10), (12) and (17)

$$\Gamma \vdash M' \parallel N' \triangleright (S' \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T') \setminus ch(L)$$

We know that  $ch(L) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$  and hence  $m(e) = e \notin ch(L)$  allowing to construct the reduction from Eqs. (9) and (11)

$$(S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \xrightarrow{m(e)} (S' \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T') \setminus ch(L)$$

$$\text{case } \frac{EvCh \quad j \in \mathcal{I}}{\square_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{e_j} P_j}$$

From  $tChx$ , we know that

$$i \in \mathcal{I} \text{ implies } \Gamma \vdash P_i \triangleright S_i \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \square_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \triangleright \square_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow S_i \quad (2)$$

From  $EvChx$ 's premises we know there exists  $j \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\square_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{e_j} P_j$  which by Eq. (1) implies  $\Gamma \vdash P_j \triangleright S_j$  allowing us to also construct the reduction

$$(\square_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow S_i) \xrightarrow{e_j} S_j$$

$$\text{case } \frac{IfTrue \quad e_1 \leq e_2}{\text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P}$$

From  $tIf$ , we know that

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \triangleright \text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } S \text{ else } T \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright T \quad (3)$$

Result follows by  $\text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } S \text{ else } T \xrightarrow{\tau} S$  and Eq. (2).

AdRcv

**case**  $\frac{l\langle Q \rangle \xrightarrow{!P} l\langle P \rangle}{\text{From tLoc,}}$

$$l \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright S \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle Q \rangle \triangleright S \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{rec}_\Gamma(l) = \square_{e \in \text{ch}(l)} e \rightarrow (T_e \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l)) \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash p(e) \triangleright T_e \quad \text{for all } e \in \text{ch}(l) \quad (5)$$

We know there  $e' \in \text{ch}(l)$  such that  $m(!P) = e'$  so  $S \Delta (\square_{e \in \text{ch}(l)} e \rightarrow (T_e \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l))) \xrightarrow{e'} (T_{e'} \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l))$ . From Eq. (1),  $\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright T_{e'}$ , Eq. (5)

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle Q \rangle \triangleright T_{e'} \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l)$$

EvLoc

**case**  $\frac{P \xrightarrow{e} P'}{l\langle P \rangle \xrightarrow{e} l\langle P' \rangle}$   
From tLoc

$$l \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle P \rangle \triangleright S \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{rec}_\Gamma(l) = \square_{e \in \text{ch}(l)} e \rightarrow (T_e \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l)) \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash p(e) \triangleright T_e \quad \text{for all } e \in \text{ch}(l) \quad (5)$$

By IH with Eq. (2),  $P \xrightarrow{e} P'$ ,

$$S \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P' \triangleright S' \quad (7)$$

By tLoc, with Eqs. (1) and (7)

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle P' \rangle \triangleright S' \Delta \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (8)$$

REC

**case**  $\frac{\sigma = [\bar{e}, (\text{rec}X(\bar{y} := \bar{e}).P)/\bar{y}, X]}{\text{rec}X(\bar{y} := \bar{e}).P \xrightarrow{\tau} P\sigma}$

AdSnd

**case**  $\frac{l!P.Q \xrightarrow{!P} Q}{\text{From tSnd, we know that}}$

$$\Gamma \vdash l!P.Q \triangleright e \rightarrow S \quad (1)$$

$$m(!P) = e \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright S \quad (3)$$

Result follows from Eq. (3) and  $e \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{e} S$  as required.

ADPARL

**case**  $\frac{M \xrightarrow{h} M'}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{h} M' \parallel_E N}$

From *tPar*, we know that

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N \triangleright T \quad (2)$$

$$L \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

$$(in(N) \cap out(M)) \cup (in(M) \cap out(N)) \subseteq L \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M \parallel_E N \triangleright (S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \quad (5)$$

From reduction rule, we know that

$$M \xrightarrow{h} M' \quad (6)$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (6),

$$S \xrightarrow{m(h)} S' \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (8)$$

From Lemma A.2 and Eq. (6), we know that

$$in(M) = in(M') \quad (9)$$

From Lemma A.3 and Eq. (4)

$$(in(N) \cap out(M')) \cup (in(M) \cap out(N')) \subseteq L \quad (10)$$

Thus we can use *tPar* with Eqs. (2), (3), (8) and (10)

$$\Gamma \vdash M' \parallel_E N \triangleright (S' \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \quad (11)$$

Here there are two sub-cases

- $m(h) \notin ch(L)$  and since  $\Sigma \cap ch(L) = \emptyset$ , we know that  $m(h) \notin E, ch(L)$ . This allows us to construct the reduction

$$(S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \xrightarrow{m(h)} (S' \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L)$$

- $m(h) \in ch(L)$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdSyncl} \quad M \xrightarrow{!R} M' \quad N \xrightarrow{!R} N'}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{\tau} M' \parallel_E N'}$$

From *tPar*, we know that

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N \triangleright T \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \cap L = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

$$(in(N) \cap out(M)) \cup (in(M) \cap out(N)) \subseteq L \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M \parallel_E N \triangleright (S \parallel_{E, ch(L)} T) \setminus ch(L) \quad (5)$$

From reduction rule, we know that

$$M \xrightarrow{!R} M' \quad (6)$$

$$N \xrightarrow{!R} N' \quad (7)$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (6) and similarly Eqs. (2) and (7)

$$S \xrightarrow{m(!R)} S' \quad (8)$$

$$T \xrightarrow{m(!R)} T' \quad (9)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N' \triangleright T' \quad (11)$$

We can deduce that  $m(!R) = m(I?R) = e$ . From Lemmas A.4 and A.5 with Eqs. (6) and (7) respectively,

$$l \in \text{in}(N) \text{ and } l \in \text{out}(M) \quad \text{hence by Eq. (4)} \quad (12)$$

$$l \in L \quad \text{from the definition of the function } ch \quad (13)$$

$$e \in ch(L) \quad (14)$$

This implies from Eqs. (8) and (9)

$$(S \parallel T) \setminus ch(L) \xrightarrow{\tau}_{E, ch(L)} (S' \parallel T') \setminus ch(L)$$

From Lemma A.2 and Eqs. (6) and (7),  $\text{in}(M, N) = \text{in}(M', N')$  and

$$(\text{in}(N') \cap \text{out}(M)) \cup (\text{in}(M') \cap \text{out}(N)) \subseteq L \quad (15)$$

By Lemma A.3 and Eqs. (6) and (7),  $\text{out}(M, N) = \text{out}(M', N')$  and

$$(\text{in}(N') \cap \text{out}(M')) \cup (\text{in}(M') \cap \text{out}(N')) \subseteq L \quad (16)$$

By *tPar* with Eqs. (3), (10), (11) and (16)

$$\Gamma \vdash M' \parallel N' \triangleright (S' \parallel T') \setminus ch(L)$$

**case**  $\frac{EvEsc \quad P \xrightarrow{e} P' \quad c \neq e}{(vc)P \xrightarrow{e} (vc)P'}$  From *tScp*, we know that

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

From reduction rule, we know that

$$P \xrightarrow{e} P' \quad (2)$$

$$c \neq e \quad (3)$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (2)

$$S \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P' \triangleright S' \quad (5)$$

There are two sub-cases

- $c \in \text{Locs}$  but we know that  $\Sigma \cap \text{Locs} = \emptyset$  and hence  $e = m(e) \notin ch(c)$  and we can construct the reduction  $S \setminus ch(c) \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \setminus ch(c)$  and from *TScp2* and Eq. (5) it follows  $\Gamma \vdash (vc)P' \triangleright S' \setminus ch(c)$
- $c \in \Sigma$ , we know from Eq. (3) and hence  $e = m(e) \notin \{e\}$  and we can construct the reduction  $S \setminus \{e\} \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \setminus \{e\}$  and from *TScp* and Eq. (5) it follows  $\Gamma \vdash (vc)P' \triangleright S' \setminus \{e\}$

**case**  $\frac{AdEsc \quad P \xrightarrow{h} P' \quad c \notin h}{(vc)P \xrightarrow{h} (vc)P'}$  From *tScp*, we know that

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

From reduction rule, we know that

$$P \xrightarrow{h} P' \quad (2)$$

$$c \notin h \quad (3)$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (2)

$$S \xrightarrow{m(h)} S' \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P' \triangleright S' \quad (5)$$

There are two sub-cases

- $c \in \text{Locs}$  but from Eq. (3) and Proposition A.6, we know that  $m(h) \notin ch(c)$  and we can construct the reduction  $S \setminus ch(c) \xrightarrow{m(h)} S' \setminus ch(c)$  and from *TScp2* and Eq. (5) it follows  $\Gamma \vdash (vc)P' \triangleright S' \setminus ch(c)$

- $c \in \Sigma$ , we know that  $\text{Locs} \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$  and hence  $ch(c) \neq m(h)$  and we can construct the reduction  $S \setminus \{e\} \xrightarrow{m(h)} S' \setminus \{e\}$  and from  $TScp$  and Eq. (5) it follows  $\Gamma \vdash (ve)P' \triangleright S' \setminus \{e\}$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{EvHIDE} \quad P \xrightarrow{e} P'}{(ve)P \xrightarrow{\tau} (ve)P'}$$

From  $tScp$ , we know that

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash (ve)P \triangleright S \setminus \{e\} \quad (2)$$

From reduction rule, we know that

$$P \xrightarrow{e} P' \quad (3)$$

By IH with Eqs. (1) and (3)

$$S \xrightarrow{m(e)} S' \quad (4)$$

$$m(e) = e \quad (5)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P' \triangleright S' \quad (6)$$

from Eq. (4)  $S \setminus \{e\} \xrightarrow{\tau} S' \setminus \{e\}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash (ve)P' \triangleright S' \setminus \{e\}$  follows from Eq. (6) and by ?? with Eq. (6), we deduce  $\Gamma \vdash (ve)P' \triangleright S' \setminus \{e\}$ .

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdLoc} \quad P \xrightarrow{h} P'}{l\langle P \rangle \xrightarrow{h} l\langle P' \rangle}$$

From  $tLoc$

$$l \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle P \rangle \triangleright S \triangle \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{rec}_\Gamma(l) = \square_{e \in ch(l)} e \rightarrow (T_e \triangle \text{rec}_\Gamma(l)) \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash p(e) \triangleright T_e \quad \text{for all } e \in ch(l) \quad (5)$$

By IH with Eq. (2),  $P \xrightarrow{h} P'$ ,

$$S \xrightarrow{m(h)} S' \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P' \triangleright S' \quad (7)$$

By  $tLoc$ , with Eqs. (1) and (7)

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle P' \rangle \triangleright S' \triangle \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (8)$$

We can construct from Eq. (6) the reduction  $S \triangle \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \xrightarrow{m(h)} S' \triangle \text{rec}_\Gamma(l)$

□

LEMMA A.2.  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M'$  implies  $in(M) = in(M')$

PROOF. Proven by rule induction on  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M'$

**case**  $evCh, Rec, ifTrue, adSnd$  Analogous as  $in(P) = \emptyset$ .

$AdRcv$

$$\text{case } \frac{\quad}{l\langle Q \rangle \xrightarrow{l?P} l\langle P \rangle} \quad \text{AdLoc} \quad \text{EvLoc}$$

We know that  $in(l\langle P \rangle) = l$  and  $in(l\langle Q \rangle) = l$ , as required.

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdSyncL} \quad M \xrightarrow{!R} M' \quad N \xrightarrow{!R} N'}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{\tau} M' \parallel_E N'}, \quad \text{evSync}, \quad \text{evParL}, \quad \text{adParL}$$

By IH, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in}(M) &= \text{in}(M') \\ \text{in}(N) &= \text{in}(N') \end{aligned}$$

We also know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in}(M \parallel_E N) &= \text{in}(M) \cup \text{in}(N) \\ &= \text{in}(M') \cup \text{in}(N') \\ &= \text{in}(M' \parallel_E N') \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{EvHide} \quad P \xrightarrow{e} P'}{(ve)P \xrightarrow{\tau} (ve)P'}, \quad \text{adEsc} \quad \text{evEsc}$$

By IH, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in}(P) &= \text{in}(P') \\ \text{in}(P) - \{e\} &= \text{in}(P') - \{e\} \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA A.3.  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M'$  then  $\text{out}(M') \subseteq \text{out}(M)$

PROOF. Proven by rule induction on  $M \xrightarrow{e} M'$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{IFTRUE} \quad e_1 \leq e_2}{\text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P}, \quad \text{pChx} \quad \text{Rec}$$

By definition  $\text{out}(\text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q) = \text{out}(P) \cup \text{out}(Q)$  and hence  $\text{out}(P) \subseteq \text{out}(P) \cup \text{out}(Q)$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdSyncL} \quad M \xrightarrow{!R} M' \quad N \xrightarrow{!R} N'}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{\tau} M' \parallel_E N'}, \quad \text{evSync}, \quad \text{evParL}, \quad \text{adParL}$$

By IH, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out}(M') &\subseteq \text{out}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{out}(N') \subseteq \text{out}(N) \\ \text{out}(M') \cup \text{out}(N') &\subseteq \text{out}(M) \cup \text{out}(N) \\ \text{out}(M' \parallel_E N') &\subseteq \text{out}(M \parallel_E N) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdSnd} \quad !P.Q \xrightarrow{!P} Q}{!P.Q \xrightarrow{!P} Q},$$

By definition  $\text{out}(!P.Q) = \{!\} \cup \text{out}(Q)$ .

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdRcv} \quad !\langle Q \rangle \xrightarrow{!P} !\langle P \rangle}{!\langle Q \rangle \xrightarrow{!P} !\langle P \rangle}, \quad \text{EvLoc} \quad \text{AdLoc}$$

By definition, we know that communicated processes do not communicate themselves i.e.,  $\text{out}(P) = \emptyset$  and thus  $\text{out}(!\langle P \rangle) = \text{out}(P) = \emptyset$ .

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{EvHIDE} \quad P \xrightarrow{e} P'}{(ve)P \xrightarrow{\tau} (ve)P'}, \quad \text{adEsc} \quad \text{evEsc}$$
  
 By IH we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out}(P') &\subseteq \text{out}(P) \\ \text{out}(P') - \{e\} &\subseteq \text{out}(P) - \{e\} \\ \text{out}((ve)P') &\subseteq \text{out}((ve)P) \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA A.4.  $M \xrightarrow{!R} M'$  implies  $l \in \text{out}(M)$

PROOF. Proven by rule induction on  $M \xrightarrow{!R} M'$

**case**  $\text{EvCh}, \text{EvParL}, \text{EvSync}, \text{Rec}, \text{EvHide}, \text{EvEsc}, \text{IfTrue}, \text{EvLoc}, \text{AdSyncL}, \text{AdRcv}$  trivially true as the reduction does not include a send event.

$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdEsc} \quad P \xrightarrow{h} P' \quad c \notin h}{(vc)P \xrightarrow{h} (vc)P'}$$

The only applicable case is when  $h = !R$ , which by IH we know that

$$l \in \text{out}(P)$$

From  $c \notin h$  we know that  $l \neq c$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} l &\in \text{out}(P) - \{c\} \\ l &\in \text{out}((vc)P) \end{aligned}$$

$\text{AdSND}$

**case** 
$$\frac{}{!P.Q \xrightarrow{!P} Q}$$
  
 From definition  $\text{out}(!R.Q) = \{l\} \cup \text{out}(Q)$ .

$\text{AdPARL}$

**case** 
$$\frac{M \xrightarrow{h} M'}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{h} M' \parallel_E N}$$

By IH with  $M \xrightarrow{h} M'$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} l &\in \text{out}(M) \\ l &\in \text{out}(M) \cup \text{out}(N) \\ l &\in \text{out}(M \parallel_E N) \end{aligned}$$

$\text{AdLoc}$

**case** 
$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{h} P'}{l\langle P \rangle \xrightarrow{h} l\langle P' \rangle}$$
 By IH, we know that  $l \in \text{out}(P)$ , which by definition imply that  $l \in \text{out}(l\langle P \rangle)$ .

□

LEMMA A.5.  $M \xrightarrow{!R} M'$  implies  $l \in \text{in}(M)$

PROOF. Proven by rule induction on  $M \xrightarrow{!R} M'$

**case**  $\text{EvCh}, \text{EvParL}, \text{EvSync}, \text{Rec}, \text{EvHide}, \text{EvEsc}, \text{IfTrue}, \text{EvLoc}, \text{AdSyncL}, \text{AdSnd}$  trivially true as the reduction does not include an event.



$$\text{case } \frac{\text{AdEsc} \quad P \xrightarrow{h} P' \quad c \notin h}{(vc)P \xrightarrow{h} (vc)P'}$$

The only applicable case is when  $h = l?R$ , which by IH we know that

$$l \in \text{in}(P)$$

From  $c \notin h$  we know that  $l \neq c$  and hence

$$l \in \text{in}(P) - \{c\}$$

$$l \in \text{in}((vc)P)$$

AdRcv

$$\text{case } \frac{}{l\langle Q \rangle \xrightarrow{l?P} l\langle P \rangle}$$

follows directly from  $\text{in}(l\langle Q \rangle) = \{l\}$ .

AdvPARL

$$\text{case } \frac{M \xrightarrow{l?R} M'}{M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{l?R} M' \parallel_E N}$$

(omitted from the language list)

By IH with  $M \xrightarrow{l?R} M'$ , it follows that

$$l \in \text{in}(M)$$

$$l \in \text{in}(M) \cup \text{in}(N)$$

$$l \in \text{in}(M \parallel_E N)$$

AdLoc

$$\text{case } \frac{P \xrightarrow{h} P'}{l\langle P \rangle \xrightarrow{h} l\langle P' \rangle}$$

By IH, we know that  $l \in \text{in}(P)$ , which by definition imply that  $l \in \text{in}(l\langle P \rangle)$ .

□

PROPOSITION A.6. For a location  $c$  and adaptation event  $h$ ,

$$c \notin h \text{ implies } m(h) \notin ch(c)$$

## B TRANSLATION THEOREM 2

LEMMA B.1. For all ACSP processes  $M$ , CSP processes  $S, S'$  and CSP events  $e$  such that  $S \xrightarrow{e} S'$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S$  implies there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $m(\alpha) = e$  and  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M'$  and  $\Gamma \vdash M' \triangleright S'$

PROOF. Proven by rule induction on  $\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S$

$\tau_{CHX}$   
 $i \in \mathcal{I}$  implies  $\Gamma \vdash P_i \triangleright S_i$   
**case**  $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \triangleright \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow S_i}{\Gamma \vdash \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \triangleright \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow S_i}$   
 From  $tChx$  we know

$$M = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \quad (1)$$

$$S = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow S_i \quad (2)$$

$$i \in \mathcal{I} \text{ implies } \Gamma \vdash P_i \triangleright S_i \quad (3)$$

From the structures of both  $S$  and  $M$ , we know that the only reduction possible is to resolve the external choice, i.e.,

$$\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow S_i \xrightarrow{e_j} S_j \quad (4)$$

We know that for all events  $e_i \in \Sigma$ ,  $m(e_i) = e_i$ , which allow us to construct the reduction

$$\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{m(e_j)} P_j \quad (5)$$

Result  $\Gamma \vdash P_j \triangleright S_j$  follows from Eq. (3).

$\tau_{Scp}$   
 $\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S$   
**case**  $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S}{\Gamma \vdash (ve)M \triangleright S \setminus \{e\}}$   
 From  $tScp$ , we know

$$\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

From operational semantics of CSP, this means that  $S \xrightarrow{e'} S'$ . By IH

$$m(\alpha) = e' \quad (2)$$

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (4)$$

By  $tScp$  and Eq. (3)

$$\Gamma \vdash (ve)M' \triangleright S' \setminus \{e\} \quad (5)$$

For the reduction, there are two sub-cases

- $e = e'$  and hence  $S \setminus \{e\} \xrightarrow{\tau} S' \setminus \{e\}$ . This means that  $\alpha = e' = e$  and hence by  $evHide$  and Eq. (3), we can construct the reduction

$$(ve)M \xrightarrow{\tau} (ve)M' \quad (6)$$

- $e \neq e'$  and hence  $S \setminus \{e\} \xrightarrow{e'} S' \setminus \{e\}$  by  $evEsc$  or  $AdEsc$  (depending on the structure of  $e'$ ) and Eq. (3), we can construct the reduction

$$(ve)M \xrightarrow{\alpha} (ve)M' \quad (7)$$

$\tau_{REC}$   
 $\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S$   
**case**  $\frac{\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S}{\Gamma \vdash recX(\vec{y} := \vec{e}).P \triangleright recX(\vec{y} := \vec{e}).S}$

$\tau_{IF}$   
 $\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad \Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright T$   
**case**  $\frac{\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad \Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \triangleright \text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } S \text{ else } T}$   
 From  $tIf$ , we know

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright T \quad (2)$$

From the structure of  $S$  and  $M$ , we infer that the only possible reduction is to resolve the if statement. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $e_1 \leq e_2$ , then

$$\text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } P \text{ else } Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P \quad (3)$$

$$\text{if } e_1 \leq e_2 \text{ then } S \text{ else } T \xrightarrow{\tau} S \quad (4)$$

Note that  $m(\tau) = \tau$  and result follows from Eq. (1).

$$\text{case } \frac{\tau\text{Scp2} \quad \Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S}{\Gamma \vdash (v l)M \triangleright S \setminus ch(l)}$$

From tScp2, we know that

$$\Gamma \vdash M \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

From operational semantics of CSP, this means that  $S \xrightarrow{e'} S'$ . By IH

$$m(\alpha) = e' \quad (2)$$

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (4)$$

By tScp2 and Eq. (3)

$$\Gamma \vdash (v l)M' \triangleright S' \setminus ch(l) \quad (5)$$

For the reduction, there are two sub-cases

- $e \in ch(l)$  and hence  $S \setminus ch(l) \xrightarrow{\tau} S' \setminus ch(l)$ . This means that  $\alpha = e' = e$  and hence by evHide and Eq. (3), we can construct the reduction

$$(v e)M \xrightarrow{\tau} (v e)M' \quad (6)$$

- $e' \notin ch(l)$  and hence  $S \setminus ch(l) \xrightarrow{e'} S' \setminus ch(l)$  by evEsc or AdEsc (depending on the structure of  $e'$ ) and Eq. (3), we can construct the reduction

$$(v l)M \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v l)M' \quad (7)$$

$$\text{case } \frac{\tau\text{Snd} \quad l \in \Gamma \quad m(l!P) = e \quad \Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright S}{\Gamma \vdash l!P.Q \triangleright e \rightarrow S}$$

From tSnd, we know that

$$l \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

$$m(l!P) = e \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash Q \triangleright S \quad (3)$$

From the structure of both  $M$  and  $S$  we know that the only reduction possible is AdSnd and the prefix

$$l!P.Q \xrightarrow{l!P} Q \quad (4)$$

$$e \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{e} S \quad (5)$$

Result follows from Eqs. (2) to (4).

$$\text{case } \frac{\tau\text{Loc} \quad l \in \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S}{\Gamma \vdash l(P) \triangleright S \triangle rec_{\Gamma}(l)}$$

From tLoc, we know that

$$l \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright S \quad (2)$$

From the structure of  $S$ , we know there are two possible reductions

- $S \xrightarrow{e} S'$ . By IH with Eq. (2),

$$P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad (3)$$

$$m(\alpha) = e \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash P' \triangleright S' \quad (5)$$

By tLoc with Eqs. (1) and (5),

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle P' \rangle \triangleright S' \triangleq \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (6)$$

and by AdLoc or EvLoc, depending on the structure of  $e$ , we construct the reduction

$$l\langle P \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha} l\langle P' \rangle \quad (7)$$

- $\text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \xrightarrow{e} S'$  where

$$\text{rec}_\Gamma(l) = \square_{e \in \text{ch}(l)} e \rightarrow (T_e \triangleq \text{rec}_\Gamma(l)) \quad (8)$$

$$e \in \text{ch}(l) \text{ implies } \Gamma \vdash p(e) \triangleright T_e \quad (9)$$

Given the structure of  $\text{rec}_\Gamma(l)$ , the only possible reduction is to resolve the choice, such that there is an event  $e \in \text{ch}(l)$ ,

$$S' = T_e \triangleq \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash p(e) \triangleright T_e \quad (11)$$

From tLoc and Eqs. (1) and (11)

$$\Gamma \vdash l\langle p(e) \rangle \triangleright T_e \triangleq \text{rec}_\Gamma(l) \quad (12)$$

Through AdRcv, we can construct the reduction

$$l\langle P \rangle \xrightarrow{l?p(e)} l\langle p(e) \rangle \quad (13)$$

Recall that  $p(e) = P$  if  $m(l?p) = e$ .

$$\text{case } \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{tPAR} \\ \Gamma, L \vdash M \triangleright S \quad \Gamma, L \vdash N \triangleright T \quad L \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \quad (\text{in}(N) \cap \text{out}(M)) \cup (\text{in}(M) \cap \text{out}(N)) \subseteq L \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash M \parallel N \triangleright (S \parallel_{\Sigma, \text{ch}(L)} T) \setminus \text{ch}(L)} \quad (14)$$

From tPar, we know

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M \triangleright S \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N \triangleright T \quad (2)$$

$$L \cap \Gamma = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

$$(\text{in}(N) \cap \text{out}(M)) \cup (\text{in}(M) \cap \text{out}(N)) \subseteq L \quad (4)$$

From the operational semantics of CSP and the structure of  $S$ , we know that there are three sub-cases

- $S \xrightarrow{e} S'$  and  $e \notin E, \text{ch}(L)$ . By IH with Eq. (1)

(5)

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' \quad (6)$$

$$m(\alpha) = e \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (8)$$

By AdParL or evParL depending on the structure of  $e$ , we can construct the reduction

(9)

$$M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' \parallel_E N \quad (10)$$

From Lemmas A.2 and A.3 with Eq. (6) implies that  $\text{out}(M') \subseteq \text{out}(M)$  and  $\text{in}(M') \subseteq \text{in}(M)$  which means that Eq. (4)

$$(\text{in}(N) \cap \text{out}(M')) \cup (\text{in}(M') \cap \text{out}(N)) \subseteq L \quad (11)$$

Result follows from tPar with Eqs. (2), (3), (8) and (11).

- $T \xrightarrow{e} T'$  and  $e \notin E, \text{ch}(L)$  - analogous to the previous case.

- $S \xrightarrow{e} S', T \xrightarrow{e} T'$  and  $e \in E, ch(L)$  By IH with Eqs. (1) and (2)

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' \quad (12)$$

$$N \xrightarrow{\alpha'} N' \quad (13)$$

$$m(\alpha) = e \quad \text{and} \quad m(\alpha') = e \quad (14)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash M' \triangleright S' \quad (15)$$

$$\Gamma, L \vdash N' \triangleright T' \quad (16)$$

There are two sub-cases

- $e \in E$  and  $\alpha = \alpha' = m(\alpha) = m(\alpha') = e$  and through *evSync* with Eqs. (12) and (13) we can construct the reduction

$$M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{e} M' \parallel_E N' \quad (17)$$

- $e \in ch(L)$ . Note that this means that there exists a location  $l$  and process  $R$  such that

$$l \in (in(N) \cap out(M)) \cup (in(M) \cap out(N)) \quad (18)$$

$$m(l!R) = m(l!R) = e \quad (19)$$

From the well-formed assumption, we know that  $out(M) \cap out(N) = \emptyset$  (similarly for *in*). From the contra positive of Lemmas A.4 and A.5, we can infer that either  $\alpha = l?P$  and  $\alpha' = l!P$  or vice-versa.

From *AdSyncL* with Eqs. (12) and (13) we can construct

$$M \parallel_E N \xrightarrow{\tau} M' \parallel_E N' \quad (20)$$

From Lemmas A.2 and A.3 with Eqs. (12) and (13) implies that  $out(M') \subseteq out(M)$  and  $in(M') \subseteq in(M)$  (similarly for  $N, N'$ ) which means that Eq. (4)

$$(in(N') \cap out(M')) \cup (in(M') \cap out(N')) \subseteq L \quad (21)$$

Result follows from *tPar* with Eqs. (3), (15), (16) and (21).

□

## C MOTIVATING EXAMPLE ENCODING

### C.1 Requirement 1

#### Restoration Area

$$R_0 = vis_{(ra, c_2)} \rightarrow R_0 \sqcap vis_{(c_2, ra)} \rightarrow R_0$$

$$R_1 = SKIP$$

$$R(v) = (vis_{(ra, c_2)} \rightarrow R(v-1)) \sqcap (v > 0 \ \& \ vis_{(c_2, ra)} \rightarrow R(v+1))$$

$$\Pi_r = grd_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow RA!R_0. \Pi_r \quad \sqcap \quad grd_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow RA!R_1. \Pi_r$$

$$ResArea = (v \ RA)(\Pi_r \quad \parallel \quad R(0) \quad \parallel \quad RA(R_1))$$

$$\{vis_{(c_2, ra)}, vis_{(ra, c_2)}\} \quad \{vis_{(c_2, ra)}, vis_{(ra, c_2)}\}$$

#### Corridor 2

$$C(v_{c_2}, v_{ra}, g_{c_2}) = \sqcap \left( \begin{array}{l} vis_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2} + 1, v_{ra}, g_{c_2}) \\ v_{c_2} > 0 \ \& \ vis_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2} - 1, v_{ra}, g_{c_2}) \\ v_{c_2} > 0 \ \& \ vis_{(c_2, ra)} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2} - 1, v_{ra} + 1, g_{c_2}) \\ v_{ra} > 0 \ \& \ vis_{(ra, c_2)} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2} + 1, v_{ra} - 1, g_{c_2}) \\ g_{c_2} = 0 \ \& \ grd_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2}, v_{ra}, g_{c_2} + 1) \\ g_{c_2} > 0 \ \& \ grd_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2}, v_{ra}, g_{c_2} - 1) \\ cnt.v_{c_2} + v_{ra} \rightarrow C(v_{c_2}, v_{ra}, g_{c_2}) \end{array} \right)$$

$$C2_0 = \sqcap_{t \in \{vis, grd\}} \left( t_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow C2_0 \sqcap t_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow C2_0 \right) \sqcap vis_{(ra, c_2)} \rightarrow C2_0 \sqcap vis_{(c_2, ra)} \rightarrow C2_0$$

$$C2_1 = vis_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow C2_1 \sqcap vis_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow C2_1 \sqcap vis_{(c_2, ra)} \rightarrow C2_1 \sqcap vis_{(ra, c_2)} \rightarrow C2_1 \sqcap grd_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow C2_1$$

$$\Pi_{c_2} = vis_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow cnt.v \rightarrow \text{if } v == 1 \text{ then } C2!C2_1. \Pi_{c_2} \text{ else } \Pi_{c_2}$$

$$\sqcap vis_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow cnt.v \rightarrow \text{if } v == 0 \text{ then } C2!C2_0. \Pi_{c_2} \text{ else } \Pi_{c_2}$$

$$\sqcap vis_{(c_2, ra)} \rightarrow \Pi_{c_2} \sqcap vis_{(ra, c_2)} \rightarrow \Pi_{c_2}$$

$$\sqcap grd_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow \Pi_{c_2} \sqcap grd_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow \Pi_{c_2}$$

$$Corr2 = (v \ C2)(v \ cnt)(\Pi_{c_2} \quad \parallel \quad (C(0) \parallel_{\Sigma} C2 \langle C2_0 \rangle))$$

$$Requirement_1 = Corr2 \quad \parallel \quad ResArea$$

$$\{vis_{(ra, c_2)}, vis_{(c_2, ra)}, grd_{(s, c_2)}, grd_{(c_2, s)}\}$$

### C.2 Requirement 2

#### Room A - D

$$R_0(rm) = \sqcap_{t \in \{vis, emp, grd\}} \left( t_{(rm, \_)} \rightarrow R_0(rm) \sqcap (t_{(\_, rm)} \rightarrow R_0(rm)) \right)$$

$$R_1(rm) = SKIP$$

$$C_{EA}(rm, n) = \sqcap_{t \in \{vis, emp, grd\}} \left( t_{(\_, rm)} \rightarrow C_{EA}(rm, n+1) \sqcap t_{(rm, \_)} \rightarrow C_{EA}(rm, n-1) \right) \sqcap count.n \rightarrow C_{EA}(rm, n)$$

$$M(rm, n) = (max.n \rightarrow M(rm, n)) \sqcap (inc.rm \rightarrow M(rm, n+1)) \sqcap (dec.rm \rightarrow M(rm, n-1))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{EA}(rm) = & \quad \square_{t \in \{vis, emp, grd\}} t(\_, rm) \rightarrow count?n \rightarrow max?m \rightarrow (\text{if } n == m \\
& \quad \text{then } eaR!R_0. \Pi_{EA}(rm) \\
& \quad \text{else if } n > m \rightarrow eaR!(dec.adjRm \rightarrow R_1(rm)). \Pi_{EA}(rm) \\
& \quad \text{else } \Pi_{EA}(rm)) \\
& \quad \square_{t \in \{vis, emp, grd\}} t(rm, \_) \rightarrow count?n \rightarrow max?m \rightarrow (\text{if } n == m - 1 \\
& \quad \text{then } eaR!R_0. \Pi_{EA}(rm) \\
& \quad \text{else if } n >= m \text{ then } eaR!(inc.adjRm \rightarrow R_1(rm)). \Pi_{EA}(rm) \\
& \quad \text{else } \Pi_{EA}(rm))
\end{aligned}$$

where  $adjRm = (|EA \Rightarrow EB, EB \Rightarrow ED, ED \Rightarrow EC, EC \Rightarrow EA|)$

$$\begin{aligned}
Movement = & \quad \square_{t \in \{vis, emp, grd\}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (t_{(EA, EB)} \rightarrow Movement) \square (t_{(EB, EA)} \rightarrow Movement) \\ (t_{(EB, ED)} \rightarrow Movement) \square (t_{(ED, EB)} \rightarrow Movement) \\ (t_{(ED, EC)} \rightarrow Movement) \square (t_{(EC, c)} \rightarrow Movement) \\ (t_{(EA, c)} \rightarrow Movement) \square (t_{(c, EA)} \rightarrow Movement) \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Room_{EA}(rm) = \text{let} \\
& \quad adtMgr = (v \max) \left( \left( (v \text{count}) \left( \Pi_{EA}(rm) \parallel_{count, goto} \left( C_{EA}(rm, 0) \parallel_{goto} Movement \right) \right) \right) \parallel_{max} M(rm, MaxAgents) \right) \\
& \quad \text{within} \\
& \quad \quad eaR\langle R_0(rm) \rangle \parallel_{goto} adtMgr
\end{aligned}$$

$Requirement_2 = \text{let}$

$$\begin{aligned}
AB = & \left( Room_{EA}(EA) \parallel_{t_{(EA, EB)}, t_{(EB, EA)}, inc.EB, dec.EB} Room_{EA}(EB) \right) \\
CD = & \left( Room_{EA}(EC) \parallel_{t_{(EC, ED)}, t_{(ED, EC)}, inc.ED, dec.ED} Room_{EA}(ED) \right) \\
& \quad \text{within} \\
& \quad (v \text{inc}, dec) \left( AB \parallel_{|t_{(EB, ED)}, t_{(ED, EB)}, inc, dec|} CD \right)
\end{aligned}$$

### C.3 Requirement 3

#### HVAC

$$\Pi_H = (conn_{hvac} \rightarrow hvac!Conn. \Pi_H) \square (disconn_{hvac} \rightarrow hvac!Dis. \Pi_H)$$

$$HVAC = (v hvac) (hvac\langle Conn \rangle \parallel \Pi_H)$$

#### Access Point

$$AP_0 = \quad \square_{t \in \{emp, vis\}} (conn_t \rightarrow AP_0 \square disconn_t \rightarrow AP_0)$$

$$AP_1 = (conn_{emp} \rightarrow AP_1 \square disconn_{emp} \rightarrow AP_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{AP}(v, e, h) = & (conn_{vis} \rightarrow C_{AP}(v + 1, e, h)) \quad \square \quad (conn_{emp} \rightarrow C_{AP}(0, e + 1, h)) \\
& \square (e > 0 \ \& \ disconn_{emp} \rightarrow C_{AP}(v, e - 1)) \quad \square \quad (v > 0 \ \& \ disconn_{vis} \rightarrow C_{AP}(v - 1, e, h)) \\
& \square (conn_{hvac} \rightarrow C_{AP}(0, e, true)) \quad \square \quad (disconn_{hvac} \rightarrow C_{AP}(v, e, false)) \\
& \square (\text{if } e > 0 \text{ then } count.Secure.e.h \rightarrow C_{AP}(v, e, h) \text{ else } count.Insecure.v.h \rightarrow C_{AP}(v, e, h))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{AP} = & \text{ (conn}_{emp} \rightarrow \text{count.s?c?h} \rightarrow \text{if } \neg s \text{ then } ap!\text{disconn}_{vis} \rightarrow \text{conn}_{hvac} \rightarrow AP_1. \Pi_{AP} \\
& \quad \text{else if } \neg h \text{ then } ap!\text{conn}_{hvac} \rightarrow AP_1. \Pi_{AP} \\
& \quad \text{else if } c == 1 \text{ and } h \text{ then } ap!AP_1. \Pi_{AP} \\
& \quad \text{else } \Pi_{AP}) \\
& \square (\text{disconn}_{vis} \rightarrow \text{count?s?c?h} \rightarrow \text{if } \neg h \text{ and } c == 0 \text{ then } ap!(\text{conn}_{hvac} \rightarrow AP_0). \Pi_{AP} \text{ else } \Pi_{AP}) \\
& \square (\text{conn}_{vis} \rightarrow \text{count?s?c?h} \rightarrow \text{if } h \text{ then } ap!(\text{disconn}_{hvac} \rightarrow AP_0). \Pi_{AP} \text{ else } \Pi_{AP}) \\
& \square (\text{disconn}_{emp} \rightarrow \text{count?s?c?h} \text{ if } s == \text{Secure} \text{ and } c == 0 \text{ then } ap!AP_0. \Pi_{AP} \text{ else } \Pi_{AP})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{AccessPt} = & \text{let } adtMgr = (v \text{ count}) \left( C_{AP}(0, 0) \parallel_{\{\text{conn}_{emp}, \text{conn}_{vis}, \text{disconn}_{emp}, \text{disconn}_{vis}\}} \Pi_{AP} \right) \\
& \text{within} \\
& (v \text{ ap}) \left( ap(\text{conn}_{hvac} \rightarrow AP_0) \parallel_{\{\text{connect}, \text{disconnect}\}} adtMgr \right)
\end{aligned}$$

### Stairs

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Stairs}(v, e) = & (v > 0 \ \& \ \text{Vis}_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow \text{disconn}_{vis} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v - 1, e)) \\
& \square (v > 0 \ \& \ \text{vis}_{(s, c)} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v, e)) \\
& \square (e > 0 \ \& \ \text{emp}_{(c_2, s)} \rightarrow \text{disconn}_{emp} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v, e - 1)) \\
& \square (e > 0 \ \& \ \text{emp}_{(s, c)} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v, e - 1)) \\
& \square (\text{vis}_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow \text{conn}_{vis} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v + 1, e)) \\
& \square (\text{vis}_{(c, s)} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v + 1, e)) \\
& \square (\text{emp}_{(s, c_2)} \rightarrow \text{conn}_{emp} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v, e + 1)) \\
& \square (\text{emp}_{(c, s)} \rightarrow \text{Stairs}(v, e + 1))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Requirement}_3 = \left( HVAC \parallel_{\{\text{conn}_{hvac}, \text{disconn}_{hvac}\}} \text{AccessPt} \right) \parallel_{\{\text{conn}_{emp}, \text{conn}_{vis}, \text{disconn}_{emp}, \text{disconn}_{vis}\}} \text{Stairs}(0, 0)$$



## D FDR CODE FOR VERIFYING REQUIREMENT 1

transparent normal

datatype Countable = Vis | Emp | Grd | Secure | Insecure | HVAC

datatype Room = Corr2 | Str | Corr | RA

subtype Agent = Vis | Emp | Grd

subtype ConnType = Secure | Insecure | HVAC

subtype TypeConn = Secure | Insecure

Processes = {0..2}

MaxAgents = 5

AgentCnt = {0..MaxAgents}

-- events (first-order and higher order)

channel count : Countable.AgentCnt -- local state

channel goto : Agent.Room.Room -- agents' movement

channel ap,hvac,rA,c2,eaR : Processes --locations

-- Processes for the restoration area

R0 = (goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> R0) [] (goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> R0)

R1 = SKIP

-- Count Process (state) for the restoration area

R(v) = (v < MaxAgents & goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> R(v+1))

      [] (v > 0 & goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> R(v-1))

-- Adaptation Function for the restoration area

Pi\_RA = (goto!Grd!Str!Corr2 -> rA!0 -> Pi\_RA)

      [] (goto!Grd!Corr2!Str -> rA!1 -> Pi\_RA)

      [] (goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> Pi\_RA) [] (goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> Pi\_RA)

--Adaptable Process for the restoration area

adtProc = let map = \ id @ (if id == 0 then R0

  else if id == 1 then R1

  else SKIP)

      within

          (rA?id : Processes -> (map(id) /\ adtProc))

-- Composed Process for the restoration area

ResArea = let

      adtMgr = (R(0) [!{|goto.Vis|}] Pi\_RA)

      proc = ((R1 /\ adtProc) [!{| goto.Vis,rA |}] adtMgr) \ {| rA |}

      within

          normal(proc)

-- Processes for Corridor 2

C2\_0 = (goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> C2\_0)

      [] (goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> C2\_0)

      [] (goto!Grd!Corr2!Str -> C2\_0)

```

[] (goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> C2_0)
[] (goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> C2_0)
[] (goto!Grd!Str!Corr2 -> C2_0)

C2_1 = (goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> C2_1)
[] (goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> C2_1)
[] (goto!Grd!Str!Corr2 -> C2_1)
[] (goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> C2_1)
[] (goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> C2_1)

-- Count process for corridor 2
C(v,va,g) = (v + va < 5 & goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> C(v+1,va,g))
[] (v > 0 & goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> C(v-1,va,g))
[] (v > 0 and va < 5 & goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> C(v-1,va+1,g))
[] (v < 5 and va > 0 & goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> C(v+1,va-1,g))
[] (count!Vis!v+va -> C(v,va,g))
[] (g > 0 & goto!Grd!Corr2!Str -> C(v,va,g-1))
[] (g < 1 & goto!Grd!Str!Corr2 -> C(v,va,g+1))

-- Adpation Function for corridor 2
Pi_C2 = (goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> count!Vis?v : AgentCnt -> if v == 1 then c2!1 -> Pi_C2 else Pi_C2)
[] (goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> count!Vis?v : AgentCnt -> if v == 0 then c2!0 -> Pi_C2 else Pi_C2)
[] (goto!Grd?_?_ -> Pi_C2) [] (goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> Pi_C2) [] (goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> Pi_C2)

--Adaptable Process for corridor 2
adtProc_C2 = let map = \ id @ (if id == 0 then C2_0
                                else if id == 1 then C2_1
                                else SKIP)
              within
                (c2?id : Processes -> (map(id) /\ adtProc_C2))

-- Composed Process for corridor 2
CorrTwo = let
  adtMgr = (C(0,0,0) [|{|goto,count|}|] Pi_C2) \ {|count|}
  nAdtMgr = normal(adtMgr)
  proc = ((C2_0 /\ adtProc_C2) [| {| goto,c2 |} |] nAdtMgr) \ {| c2 |}
  nproc = normal(proc)
  within
    nproc

-- System
Implement1 = (CorrTwo [| {| goto.Grd, goto.Vis.Corr2.RA, goto.Vis.RA.Corr2 |} |] ResArea)

--Assertion
Specification = (goto!Grd!Str!Corr2 -> temp)
[] (goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> Specification)
[] (goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> Specification)
temp = (goto!Vis?x:Room?_: {y | y <- Room, x != y} -> temp)
[] (goto!Grd!Corr2!Str -> Specification)

assert Specification [T= Implement1

-- Assertion 2
GuardLeave(n) = (goto!Grd!Str!Corr2 -> tempGrdLeave(0,n))

```

```

        [] (n < MaxAgents & goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> GuardLeave(n+1))
        [] (n > 0 & goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> GuardLeave(n-1))

tempGrdLeave(n,m) = (n == 0 & goto!Grd!Corr2!Str -> GuardLeave(m))
    [] (n < MaxAgents and m > 0 & goto!Vis!Corr2!RA -> tempGrdLeave(n+1,m-1))
    [] (n > 0 and m < MaxAgents & goto!Vis!RA!Corr2 -> tempGrdLeave(n-1,m+1))
    [] (m > 0 & goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> tempGrdLeave(n,m-1))
    [] (m < MaxAgents & goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> tempGrdLeave(n,m+1))

assert GuardLeave(0) [T= Implement1

```

## E FDR CODE FOR VERIFYING REQUIREMENT 2

transparent normal

```
datatype Countable = Vis | Emp | Grd | Secure | Insecure | HVAC
```

```
datatype Room = EA | EB | EC | ED | Corr
subtype Agent = Vis | Emp | Grd
```

```
subtype ConnType = Secure | Insecure | HVAC
subtype TypeConn = Secure | Insecure
```

```
Processes = {0..2}
MaxAgents = 3
AgentCnt = {0..MaxAgents}
```

```
-- events (first-order and higher order)
channel count : Countable.AgentCnt          -- local state
channel goto : Room.Room                    -- agents' movement
channel inc,dec : Room
channel max : AgentCnt
```

```
channel ap,hvac,rA,c2,eaR : Processes        --locations
```

```
-- helper functions
notR(rm) = {x | x <- Room, x != rm}
```

```
-- The allowed actions
Movement = (goto!EA!EB -> Movement) [] (goto!EB!EA -> Movement)
          [] (goto!EB!ED -> Movement) [] (goto!ED!EB -> Movement)
          [] (goto!ED!EC -> Movement) [] (goto!EC!Corr -> Movement)
          [] (goto!EA!Corr -> Movement) [] (goto!Corr!EA -> Movement)
```

```
-- Processes for a room in the exhibition area
R_0(rm) = (goto!rm?r : notR(rm) -> R_0(rm)) [] (goto?r : notR(rm)!rm -> R_0(rm))
R_1(rm) = SKIP
```

```
-- Count Process (state) for a room in the exhibition area
C_EA(rm,n) = (n < MaxAgents & goto?!rm -> C_EA(rm,n+1))
            [] (n > 0 & goto!rm?_ -> C_EA(rm,n-1))
            [] count!Vis!n -> C_EA(rm,n)
```

```
-- Maximum Agents for a room in the exhibition area
M(rm,n) = (max!n -> M(rm,n))
          [] (inc!rm -> n < MaxAgents & M(rm,n+1))
```

```

[] (dec!rm -> n > 0 & M(rm,n-1))

-- Adaptation Function for a room in the exhibition area
Pi_EA(rm) = goto?!rm -> count!Vis?n -> max?m
      -> (if n == m then eaR!0 -> Pi_EA(rm)
          else if n > m then eaR!1 -> Pi_EA(rm)
          else Pi_EA(rm))
[] goto!rm?_ -> count!Vis?n -> max?m
      -> (if n == m - 1 then eaR!0 -> Pi_EA(rm)
          else if n >= m then eaR!2 -> Pi_EA(rm)
          else Pi_EA(rm))

--Adaptable Process for a room in the exhibition area
adtProc_EA(rm) =
  let
    adjacentRooms = (| EA => EB, EB => ED, ED => EC, EC => EA |)
    adjRm = mapLookup(adjacentRooms,rm)
    map = \ id @ (if id == 0 then R_0(rm)
                  else if id == 1 then dec!adjRm -> R_1(rm)
                  else if id == 2 then inc!adjRm -> R_1(rm)
                  else SKIP)
  within
    (eaR?id : Processes -> (map(id) /\ adtProc_EA(rm)))

-- Composed Process for a room in the exhibition area
Room_EA(rm) = let
  countProc = C_EA(rm,0) [|{|goto|}|] Movement
  adtMgr = (Pi_EA(rm) [|{|count,goto|}|] countProc) \ {|count|}
  MaxAdtMgr = (adtMgr [|{|max|}|] M(rm,MaxAgents)) \ {| max |}
  nAdtMgr = normal(MaxAdtMgr)
  proc = ((R_0(rm) /\ adtProc_EA(rm)) [|{| goto,eaR |} |] nAdtMgr) \ {| eaR |}
  within
    normal(proc)

ExhibitionArea =
  let
    AB = Room_EA(EA) [| { goto.EA.EB,goto.EB.EA, inc.EB,dec.EB }|] Room_EA(EB)
    CD = Room_EA(EC) [| {goto.EC.ED,goto.ED.EC, inc.ED,dec.ED}|] Room_EA(ED)
  within
    (AB [| {|goto.EB.ED,goto.ED.EB, inc , dec|}|] CD) \ {|inc,dec|}

-- Exhibition Area assertion
channel violation
Specification(n) =
  (n < MaxAgents*12 & goto!Corr?_ -> Specification(n+1))
  [] (n > 0 & goto?!Corr -> Specification(n-1))
  [] (goto?_:notR(Corr)?_:notR(Corr) -> Specification(n))

assert Specification(0) [T= ExhibitionArea

RoomSpec(rm,n) =
  (n > 0 & goto!rm?_ -> RoomSpec(rm,n-1))
  [] (n < MaxAgents & goto?!rm -> RoomSpec(rm,n+1))

```

```
assert RoomSpec(EA,0) [T= Room_EA(EA) \ {|dec,inc|}]
```

## F FDR CODE FOR VERIFYING REQUIREMENT 3

```
transparent normal
```

```
datatype Countable = Vis | Emp | Grd | Secure | Insecure | HVAC
```

```
datatype Room = Corr2 | Str | Corr
```

```
subtype Agent = Vis | Emp | Grd
```

```
subtype ConnType = Secure | Insecure | HVAC
```

```
subtype TypeConn = Secure | Insecure
```

```
Processes = {0..5}
```

```
MaxAgents = 3
```

```
AgentCnt = {0..MaxAgents}
```

```
-- events (first-order and higher order)
```

```
channel count : Bool.AgentCnt.Bool -- local state
```

```
channel goto : Agent.Room.Room -- agents' movement
```

```
channel connect,disconnect : ConnType -- connect/disconnect
```

```
channel ap,hvac,ra,c2,eaR : Processes --locations
```

```
-- Processes for the access point
```

```
AP_0 = (connect?t : TypeConn -> AP_0) [] (disconnect?t:TypeConn -> AP_0)
```

```
AP_1 = (connect!Secure -> AP_1) [] (disconnect!Secure -> AP_1)
```

```
-- Count Process (state) for the access point
```

```
C_AP(v,e,h) = (v < MaxAgents & connect!Insecure -> C_AP(v+1, e,h))
```

```
          [] (e < MaxAgents & connect!Secure -> C_AP(v, e+1,h))
```

```
          [] (e > 0 & disconnect!Secure -> C_AP(v,e-1,h))
```

```
          [] (v > 0 & disconnect!Insecure -> C_AP(v-1,e,h))
```

```
          [] (if v > 0 then count!false!v!h -> C_AP(v,e,h) else count!true!e!h -> C_AP(v,e,h))
```

```
          [] (connect!HVAC -> C_AP(0,e,true)) [] (disconnect!HVAC -> C_AP(v,e,false))
```

```
-- Adaptation Function for the access point
```

```
Pi_AP = (connect!Secure -> count?sec : Bool?c : AgentCnt?h : Bool -> if not sec then ap!1 -> Pi_AP
```

```
          else if not h then ap!5 -> Pi_AP
```

```
          else if h and c == 1 then ap!3 -> Pi_AP
```

```
          else Pi_AP)
```

```
          [] (disconnect!Insecure -> count?sec : Bool?c : AgentCnt?h : Bool -> if not h and c == 0 then ap!0 -> Pi_AP
```

```
          else Pi_AP)
```

```
          [] (connect!Insecure -> count?sec : Bool?c : AgentCnt?h : Bool -> if h then ap!2 -> Pi_AP else Pi_AP)
```

```
          [] (disconnect!Secure -> count?sec : Bool?c : AgentCnt?h : Bool -> if sec and c == 0 then ap!4 -> Pi_AP
```

```
          else Pi_AP)
```

```
-- Adaptable Process for the access point
```

```
adtProc_AP = let map = \ id @ (if id == 0 then connect!HVAC -> AP_0
```

```
          else if id == 1 then disconnect!Insecure -> connect!HVAC -> AP_1
```

```
          else if id == 2 then disconnect!HVAC -> AP_0
```

```
          else if id == 3 then AP_1
```

```

else if id == 5 then connect!HVAC -> AP_1
else if id == 4 then AP_0
else SKIP)

within
  (ap?id : Processes -> (map(id) /\ adtProc_AP))

-- Composed Process for access point
AccessPt = let
  connections ={|connect.Insecure,connect.Secure,disconnect.Insecure,disconnect.Secure, count |}
  adtMgr = (C_AP(0,0,false) [| connections |] Pi_AP) \ {|count|}
  nAdtMgr = normal(adtMgr)
  proc = (((connect!HVAC -> AP_0) /\ adtProc_AP) [| {|connect, disconnect, ap|} |] nAdtMgr) \ {| ap |}
within
  normal(proc)

-- Processes for the HVAC
Conn = SKIP
Dis = SKIP

-- Adaptation Function for the HVAC
Pi_H = (connect!HVAC -> hvac!0 -> Pi_H )
      [| (disconnect!HVAC -> hvac!1 -> Pi_H)

-- Adaptable Process for the HVAC
adtProc_H = let map = \ id @ (if id == 0 then Conn
                             else if id == 1 then Dis
                             else SKIP)
within
  (hvac?id : Processes -> (map(id) /\ adtProc_H))

-- Composed Process for HVAC
HVACComp = let
  proc = ((Conn /\ adtProc_H) [| {|hvac|} |] Pi_H) \ {| hvac |}
within
  normal(proc)

-- Process for Stairs
Stairs(v,e) = (v > 0 & goto!Vis!Corr2!Str -> disconnect!Insecure -> Stairs(v - 1,e))
              [| (v > 0 & goto!Vis!Str!Corr -> Stairs(v,e))
               [| (e > 0 & goto!Emp!Corr2!Str -> disconnect!Secure -> Stairs(v,e-1))
               [| (e > 0 & goto!Emp!Str!Corr -> Stairs(v,e- 1))
               [| (v < MaxAgents & goto!Vis!Str!Corr2 -> connect!Insecure -> Stairs(v + 1,e))
               [| (v < MaxAgents & goto!Vis!Corr!Str -> Stairs(v + 1, e))
               [| (e < MaxAgents & goto!Emp!Str!Corr2 -> connect!Secure->Stairs(v ,e + 1))
               [| (e < MaxAgents & goto!Emp!Corr!Str-> Stairs(v,e + 1))

-- Composition of Components
Implementation3 = (HVACComp [| {|connect.HVAC, disconnect.HVAC |} |] AccessPt)
--[{|connect.Secure,connect.Insecure,disconnect.Secure,disconnect.Insecure |}] Stairs(0,0)

-- Assertion for Requirement 3
Requirement3 = connect!HVAC -> Requirement_2(0)
Requirement_1(c) =
  (c > 0 and c < MaxAgents & connect!Insecure -> Requirement_1(c+1))
  [| (c == 0 & connect!Insecure -> disconnect!HVAC -> Requirement_1(c+1))
  [| (c > 1 & disconnect!Insecure -> Requirement_1(c-1))
  [| (c == 1 & disconnect!Insecure -> connect!HVAC -> Requirement_1(c-1))

```

```

    [] (c > 0 & connect!Secure -> disconnect!Insecure -> connect!HVAC -> Requirement_2(1))
    [] (c == 0 & connect!Secure -> Requirement_2(1))
Requirement_2(c) = (c < MaxAgents & connect!Secure -> Requirement_2(c+1))
    [] (c > 0 & disconnect!Secure -> Requirement_2(c-1))
    [] (c == 0 & (connect!Insecure -> disconnect!HVAC -> Requirement_1(1)))

assert Requirement3 [T= Implementation3
```