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Abstract
This thesis will endeavour to answer the question of how the public sphere interacts and limits
technological advancement and how it has shaped future products similar to Google Glass,
Google Cardboard and Google Now. More specifically, this thesis aims to examine how the
public sphere has influenced and limited Google. With Glass eventually being relinquished as
a failed project, how has Cardboard proved that the private sphere is best suited to advanced
technologies, and how does the public sphere continue to conflict with Google Now?

What, in a larger context, does this analysis expose? It exhibits the sociologically structured
phenomenon of the public sphere, how it applies to the future of technological advancement,
and points of contention between the public sphere and technology that must be resolved.
Furthermore, it establishes a gulf in reasoning in the development of technology, often
eschewing utility in favour of unrealistic perceived necessities of the public, while concurrently
being limited by the technology available. The public sphere represents a structure of the
subconscious of a unified mass and therefore establishes a formulaic approach to events that
are seen as circumstantial.

A quantitative study, for the purposes of this thesis would result in enormous amounts of data,
far beyond the scope of the question at hand. For this reason, a qualitative study of the public
sphere’s impact on Google Glass, Cardboard, and Now will be performed. Using a framework
developed from the literature review, four key elements will be applied to analyse the question
at hand: collectivity and exclusion; scandal; privacy; and publicity.