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Abstract: With the increasingly demanding schedules of formal and casual learners and the proliferation of terminal devices available for content delivery, learners are demanding ubiquitous access to their learning. The multi-modal nature of the variety of devices employed by these learners will necessitate that learning experiences can be started on one device and transitioned seamlessly to another as the learner changes the context of their learning. This movement of learning experience between devices, which may have dramatically different capabilities, presents a usability challenge to ensure our learners do not feel their learning experience has been adversely affected. Personalized eLearning offerings can be tailored not only to the learner, but also to the device they are using. Generally, the evaluations that have been carried out of personalized eLearning systems have concentrated on systems that are delivered via devices, such as desktop computers, that have generous screen real estate available for rendering the personalized courses. When screen real estate and characteristics such as bandwidth are limited appropriate personalization becomes much more important. This paper examines the usability of dynamically composed eLearning experiences on Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) devices.

1 INTRODUCTION
According to [Kukulska-Hulme, 02], “usability is typically defined as being the effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction with which specified users can achieve identified goals in particular environments”. In order to get away from the traditional “one size fits all” attitude to eLearning, where every individual user is presented with the same content presented in the same order, an approach which takes into account the user’s aims and prior knowledge, encompassing their tasks or goals, pre-empted the development of systems which would be capable of adapting to individual users. These systems are known as adaptive hypermedia systems. [Brusilovsky et al, 02] outlines that a distinctive feature of an adaptive system is an explicit user model that represents user knowledge, goals, interests, and other features that enable the system to distinguish different users. The user model is used to provide an adaptation effect that tails interaction to different users in the same context. An adaptive system automatically adapts to the user given a user model, whereas an adaptable system requires user input to adapt. Adaptive Hypermedia and web systems are essentially collections of connected information items that allow users to navigate from one item to another and search for relevant items. The adaptation effect in this reasonably rigid context is limited to three major adaptation mechanisms - Adaptive content selection, Adaptive navigation support and Adaptive presentation. While this paper touches on all three of these mechanisms it is particularly concerned with the presentation of Adaptive Hypermedia.

The issues of presentation of content and navigation structure have to be considered when developing an eLearning application that may be accessed via a variety of devices. Wireless mobile devices are becoming increasingly widespread, with more and more people choosing to access the Internet and various Web services while on the move. This has directly influenced the growth in mLearning [Sharples, 00] where people wish to access eLearning resources from their mobile devices. This opens up a whole new range of challenges for eLearning interface designers. For example, the smaller screen real estate and decreased processing power of PDAs could adversely affect access to eLearning solutions.

These, and other, restrictions of such devices make them a challenging environment on which to deliver personalized eLearning experiences. Many of the adaptive hypermedia techniques employed in current personalized eLearning systems will be equally applicable for personalized mLearning. The primary difference between
personalized eLearning and personalized mLearning is the medium through which the learning is presented and the presentation of navigational structures and learning content. The mobile nature of mLearning means that the content delivered should not only be tailored towards the learner’s need, but also to their current situation or learning context. In order for this context, and in particular the device the learner is using, to be catered for in mLearning the presentation axis of adaptation should be considered. In many personalized eLearning systems the presentation axis is often seen as less significant when compared to adaptive content selection and adaptive navigation support. In mLearning, however, its significance increases substantially as it is the mechanism through which the personalized learning experience is formulated.

This paper describes the architecture and implementation of dynamically composible eLearning courses for PDAs. The first section of this paper will discuss some current Adaptive Hypermedia Systems, and also some systems that have taken into account some of the issues related to dealing with automatically configuring interfaces for specific devices. The architecture of the Adaptive Personalized eLearning Service (APeLS) [Conlan et al, 2002] that has been developed in the Knowledge and Data Engineering Group at Trinity College, Dublin will then be described briefly, including the specific changes made to a personalized Structured Query Language (SQL) course running on APeLS to enable it for Personalized mLearning. The penultimate section will discuss the initial experiment that was conducted to evaluate the feasibility and usability of using APeLS to deliver mLearning to mobile-enabled PDAs. The paper will conclude with a Future Work section.

2 STATE OF THE ART
The goal of this section is to provide a background in which this trial and evaluation of eLearning on a mobile device, or mLearning, has been carried out. It briefly reviews Adaptive Hypermedia (AH), including a discussion of a number of Adaptive Hypermedia Systems (AHS). It also describes some of background relating to designing content and interfaces for PDAs.

2.1 Adaptive Hypermedia
Brusilovsky [Brusilovsky, 96] provides the following definition for adaptive hypermedia: “By adaptive hypermedia systems we mean all hypertext and hypermedia systems which reflect some features of the user in the user model and apply this model to adapt various visible aspects of the system to the user. In other words, the system should satisfy three criteria: it should be a hypertext or hypermedia system, it should have a user model, and it should be able to adapt the hypermedia using this model.” To achieve this view adaptive hypermedia combines research efforts in the area of user-modeling, adaptive systems and hypermedia.

A hypermedia system is a collection of linked nodes (hyperdocuments), which have links through which the student can traverse to other nodes. When applied to eLearning AHSs support the premise that different people learn in different ways and at different rates. In AHSs the learning experience can be tailored to their specific capabilities and past history. The techniques frequently employed in achieving this tailored learning are adaptive navigation structuring, adaptive content selection and adaptive presentation. Studies have shown that users of educational AHSs are faster, more goal-oriented and take fewer steps to complete a course. It was also noted that they are less likely to repeat the study of content that they have already covered [Conlan, 00].

A general-purpose Adaptive Hypermedia Engine [De Bra, 01] may be viewed as being comprised of at least the following three elements:

- **Domain Model** – Consists of concepts and the relations that exist between them. Typically the domain model gives a domain expert’s view of the domain
- **User Model** – Consists of relevant information about the user
- **Adaptation Model** – Consists of a set of rules or triggers, which indicate how various user actions update the user model and affect how the pages are adapted accordingly
AHSs apply different forms of learner models to adapt the content and links of hypermedia pages to the user. In many AHSs there tends to be separation of the learner model and the content model, but the narrative or pedagogical model is usually embedded in the content or the engine. In these cases, adding new or different pedagogical models to the content model is more difficult and involves a re-authoring of the content model. This results in learning content that is difficult to reuse or an engine that is domain specific. One means of enhancing the educational impact of eLearning courses, while still optimizing the return on investment, is to facilitate the personalization and repurposing of learning objects across multiple related courses [Conlan, 02].

The AHA project [De Bra, 01] was designed to implement a system that extends Web servers with adaptivity functionality in a transparent manner. A module registers users automatically and adapts the content and navigation structure to the information stored in a user model which is maintained by the system. This module is application independent and the source of the content may not necessarily be internal to the system.

Using adaptive hypermedia techniques, a tool for authoring and delivery of adaptive electronic textbooks over the World Wide Web, called Interbook [Interbook], was developed. This tool allows the development of electronic textbooks from plain text to a specially annotated HTML and serves these HTML pages over a HTTP server provided by the system. The Interbook project aimed to enhance web-based courses with the goal of enriching the content available to different users by presenting a personalized course to them. Using two kinds of knowledge [Brusilovsky, 98]: knowledge about the domain (domain model) and knowledge about the student (user model), the Interbook system maintains an adaptive electronic textbook, which is split into two parts – a textbook and a related glossary. The domain model and the user model are the keys to adaptivity in this electronic textbook. The domain model contains the structure of the content of the adaptive electronic textbook, and for each concept represented in this model, the user model stores a value which is the estimation of the user’s knowledge level of this concept for a particular user. These knowledge levels are kept current by the tracking of the user’s interactions with the system. All educational materials are stored as a set of electronic textbooks, and using features of browsers, such as multiple windows and frames, Interbook provides a usable and advanced interface for the user.

The AVANTI [Avanti] project was aimed at catering multimedia information to the information needs of different users by adapting the content and the presentation of web pages to each individual user. A domain model, as well as user models which contained information about the characteristics of individual users, groups of users and various usage environments were maintained by the system and used in the adaptation process. Adaptation was invoked at the content level and at the user interface level. This dual adaptation effect allowed the system to optimize the way the content was applied and presented. The AVANTI system was aimed at providing personalized information across a wide range of users, with differing knowledge and abilities. The information it conveyed was hypermedia information about a metropolitan area.

In the Adaptive Personalized eLearning Service (APeLS) [Conlan et. al., 02], the approach taken to implement an adaptive education system is to provide a generic model for integrating the learner model (which describes the pertinent learner characteristics), content model (which describes the pedagogical qualities of the content) and the narrative model (which describes a mechanism for combining the content to produce a coherent educational courseware component). These models may be reconciled at runtime to produce several adaptation effects.

To achieve a high level of adaptivity the models require a high level of detail. Any models which are used as the basis for the learner or content models will need to be augmented to support the level of adaptivity hoped to be achieved. The principle metadata which needs to be added to all the models are more focused pedagogical elements. These should be pertinent to how the adaptive engine can combine the models to provide effective and coherent course material to the user. Elements containing information about the users’ preferences should be reflected in all the models. The adaptive engine provides the facilities for reconciling the content, learner and narrative models to produce individualized content. The system is an adaptive metadata driven engine that composes, at runtime, tailored educational experiences across a single content base.
The APeLS system has a clear separation of content, learner and narrative models, and a generic adaptive engine that employs a multi-tiered AI model to achieve effective adaptation to the learners requirements. The approach is to have very little semantics actually embedded in the adaptive engine itself. The adaptive engine reconciles, at runtime, the personalized course. The dynamic building of the course is controlled by each learner via appropriate pedagogic instruments. This approach enables multiple narrative models to be constructed to fulfill different learning goals, while these goals may be achieved from a common repository of content.

2.2 User Interface Design
Usability is defined as the measure of the quality of a user’s experience as they interact with a system [Usability]. In order to establish basic human factor goals, a study of the user community and their set of tasks is necessary. Five of these which are important to evaluate are [Shneiderman, 98]:

- Ease of learning: How quickly can the user learn to use the interface?
- Efficiency of use: How fast can a user perform his/her tasks once they know the system?
- Retention over time: Can users who have used the system before instinctively use it when they return?
- Error frequency and severity: How often are errors made by users and how easy is it for them to recover?
- Subjective satisfaction: How much does the user like using the system?

Half of all software support calls are due to poor usability [Nielsen, 00]. Users’ satisfaction with a system increases when that system is easy to use. Ease of use increases when the system follows a consistent usage pattern and provides a familiar look-and-feel to the user. Users don’t like to have to undergo training in order to be able to use a system. Systems must focus on user satisfaction, allowing the users to be able to relax, enjoy and explore the various features the system offers [Shneiderman, 02].

2.3 PDA Interface Design
It was reported in [comScore, 02] that 9.9 million Internet users in the U.S. use a personal digital assistant (PDA) or cell phone to access the Internet. [ComPldAl, 02] projected that 48% of Internet users in 2005 will be mobile Internet users. The World Wide Web consists of a huge number of pages for people to browse for work or pleasure. Unfortunately, most of these pages are designed to be displayed by computers with a large and high resolution monitor [Chu, 01]. When viewing pages on a device with a small and low resolution screen, display problems arise, making the experience very unsatisfactory for the user.

Therefore, the presentation of information on a small screen device provides many usability challenges. Some which have to be taken into account, according to [Usability] are as follows:

- Instructions and other similar text should be used sparingly and only when necessary
- Links should be brief and contain only necessary key words
- As with web pages, specific options should be presented before general options
- PDA users expect to find web-like interfaces on their handhelds
- Due to low screen resolution and small screen size, long narrative descriptions are not effective

The Dygimes project [Coninx et. al., 03] stems from a desire to create multi-device interfaces. This results from the growing use of mobile devices. Currently, the reuse of existing interfaces on new devices is problematic, specifically when the interface is constrained by hardware or software issues. The user grows familiar with a known user interface design, which adds pressure to user interface designers to create a consistent look and feel across several devices. The framework implemented allows for a separation of the user interface and the application code. The interface and functionality are then seamlessly supported. Therefore, using standard functionality, the resultant interface can be used on many devices, allowing flexible reusability of existing designs. In the framework, the user interface is less dependent on device-specific properties, and is implemented through the use of XML-based UI
descriptions. Task models, interaction models and context-dependent mapping rules are combined with these high-level XML-based UI description in order to generate interfaces which are independent of device and system specifications [Dygimes].

The Pebbles project [Pebbles] is looking at how handheld devices (e.g. Personal PDAs and mobile phones) can be used if they are interacting with other electronic equipment (e.g. other handheld devices, appliances or normal desktop PCs). Appliances are becoming more advanced as more functionality is added, making the interface to the appliance more complicated. The Pebbles project proposes that separating the interface from the appliance may help the users to interact with the appliance. The idea is that every user would carry a personal universal controller (PUC) [Nichols, et. al, 02], a device which would allow the user to interact with all of the appliances or services in his/her environment. In order to control an appliance, the PUC communicates with the appliance, downloads the details of the functions of the appliance and automatically generates a remote control that is suited to the user and his/her PUC.

2.4 Human Computer Interaction

Human Computer Interaction (HCI) deals with the interactions between computers and the people who use them. According to [Lyng, 00], various principles and design rules have been derived for HCI. Principles are the goals from which design decisions are built, and reflect knowledge about human perception, knowledge and behavior. Some of the principles that have emerged over the years are:

- **Naturalness:** Does the user have to alter his/her method of completing the task in order to operate within the system?
- **Consistency:** Can the user use all of the functionality across a system in a similar manner?
- **Non-redundancy:** Does the user only enter in a minimum amount of data in order to facilitate use of the system?
- **Supportiveness:** How much support is offered to the user during his/her use of the system? There are three major aspects associated with this: the quantity and quality of instructions provided, the type of error messages produced and the feedback provided by the system.
- **Flexibility:** How well does the system react to different levels of user familiarity and performance?

3 ARCHITECTURE

The Adaptive Personalized eLearning Service (APeLS), and more specifically a personalized SQL course based on APeLS, is used as the basis for the personalized PDA-based eLearning System trialed and evaluated in this paper. This section briefly describes APeLS and the specific implementation required to apply the multi-model, metadata driven approach for adaptive PDA-based eLearning. APeLS is an adaptive system that is designed in accordance with the multi-model, metadata driven approach [Conlan et al, 02]. It supports the separation of the models that impact upon the personalization process. While the approach may support a multitude of models the three core models of learner, content and narrative are usually included. The learner model describes information about the learner that is pertinent to the personalization of the material; the content model describes the learning resources and the narrative model describes the concepts and pedagogical strategies that may be employed to teach a specific domain. It is important to note that the models remain discrete and separate. This, and the candidacy abstraction layer [Dagger et al, 03], facilitate their reuse.

The candidacy abstraction layer provides the ability to describe the narrative of a course independently of the content used to teach it enables the same narrative to be used in different versions of the course. For example, the PDA-based SQL course and the standard desktop version share the same narrative. The candidacy abstraction layer enables multiple versions of content for each concept in a course. Candidate selection is performed just in time as the course is being delivered to the learner. A separate model is employed to facilitate this selection process – the environment model. This model primarily describes the device the learner is accessing the personalized course from. This enables the candidate selection process to choose the most appropriate piece of learning content for a given concept and for the device the learner is using. Knowledge of the learner’s device also enables the most appropriate navigation paradigm to be employed. Figure 1, below, shows the APeLS architecture.
Potentially the only difference between delivering a personalized course for a single terminal device and for two different devices is the inclusion of additional content in candidate groups where the original content available was unsuitable for both devices. In the case of the personalized SQL course, a minimal amount of the original learning content needed to be changed – this usually consisted of changing content that used images. The other area of the presentation that needed some subtle changes was the interface placed around the content to facilitate navigation of the course. As the interface is provided at runtime by passing the personalize course through an XSL transformation, a different XSLT needed to be created, and selected, for the PDA version of the course.

Figures 2 and 3, above, show the results of the initial manipulation of the presentation for rendering on a PDA. Figure 2 shows a typical table of contents in the system. The table of contents is dynamically generated for the
specific learner based on their prior knowledge of SQL (gathered through the pre-test instrument, Figure 4). It is generated based on information stored about the user in the learner model, such as their prior knowledge of the subject and their learning goals. As the navigation on the PDA is limited to the minimum necessary number of links to enable the user to get wherever is needed in the course. The user can access the Course Index and Section Index; can return to the initial questionnaire which evaluates his/her knowledge of the course, thus adapting the course to their needs, through the Rebuild Course option. The users can also Logout and can click on the Evaluation option in order to complete the questionnaire that was required at the end of the trial.

Figure 3 shows a page of content in the course, which introduces the concept of a database. Again the navigation structure is a simpler version than that of the original course. At the top of the content, the Forward and Back options can be seen. These allow the user to navigate through the pages on a particular topic. The bottom of the page also has Forward and Back links as well as an indicator as to which page in the sequence the user currently is. The links in the first diagram are also available to the user at the bottom of this page. The corresponding page in the original implementation of the course provides many additional links to the various other topics in the section as well as a textual description to the location of the user in the course. For this implementation, it was felt that this made the smaller PDA screen too cluttered and difficult to follow.

4 TRIAL AND EVALUATION
APeLS is being used in Trinity College, Dublin to teach the fundamentals of Structured Query Language (SQL) to seven undergraduate courses [Conlan and Wade, 04]. At present it is assumed that the personalized course will be viewed on a full size monitor and the presentation was implemented towards this. As an initial trial into the evaluation of the needs of mobile users of this tool, manual changes were made to the layout and presentation of the course on screen (described in the Architecture section).

Due to the nature of the original course, it was interesting to note that on first viewing the content on a PDA, the presentation of the content was quite usable and readable. One of the main issues identified with the original course, when displayed on a PDA was, the need for the removal of horizontal scrolling of content, as well as the need to minimize the amount of vertical scrolling. The major changes to the original course content involved the resizing of HTML tables, the removal of redundant links and the resizing of components to make the content easier to view. Forward and Back options were placed at the top of the content as well as the bottom to give the user the ability to navigate quickly through content pages without having to scroll to the bottom each time.

4.1 Evaluation
The goals of the evaluation were to test the satisfaction of the learners with PDA based eLearning delivered through APeLS, and examine the efficiency with which they could navigate through the course. The evaluation also provided an initial investigation of the technical efficiency of the system, giving an indicator as to how long the development of a more advanced adaptive layout control will take (see the Future Work section).

The trial was carried out on a group of eight staff and postgraduate students from the Department of Computer Science in Trinity College, Dublin who had not used either the original personalized course or the APeLS system before. Some had previous knowledge of SQL, while others had no previous exposure to it. None had any experience of learning on a PDA. They all completed the trial on a Compaq IPAQ Pocket PC running Internet Explorer. At the start of the trial, the users had to complete an initial instrument to initialize the system with his/her knowledge of SQL (Figure 4).

The evaluation questionnaire was developed in Trinity College, Dublin as part of Mary Lyng’s [Lyng, 00] research. The evaluation questionnaire developed addresses five principles in the evaluation of the adaptive SQL course. This questionnaire was used again as part of this trial to evaluate users’ reactions to the presentation of the course running on a PDA. The users were asked to complete the questionnaire when they had completed their personalized SQL course. A screen shot showing a portion of the questionnaire can be seen in Figure 5.
The questionnaire was split into six different sections. The first section covered the area of Naturalness, asking the users questions about the use of language, and presentation aspects (such as font, colour, etc). The next section questioned the users about Navigation, mainly dealing with the use of links and the consistency of navigation. User Support was next with questions about the quality and quantity of support offered by the system. The next section was Consistency which posed questions about consistency across the system, incorporating consistency in look and feel, navigation and style. The Non-Redundancy section asked about the actual content of the system, was there enough information or too little, for example. Finally, the Flexibility section checked to see if the user could leave the system whenever they wished and could navigate easily within the system.

For each question, the users were given four options to choose from. The user was asked whether they strongly disagree, disagree, agree or strongly agree with each statement.

4.2 Initial Results
A summary of the initial results follows. It is broken down into the relevant sections.

- **Naturalness** - Overall the users seemed to be happy with the naturalness of using the system. The primary issue the users highlighted was that there seemed to be too much vertical scrolling necessary to view the contents of the course. Another feature that some users proved unhappy with was that they felt the PDA screen was too cluttered. A lot of steps have been taken to reduce the clattering but obviously a lot of work is left to do. This result demonstrates the difficulty of delivering sufficient learning material to the learner without over-filling the screen. They also felt that the colors and highlighting used were not always appropriate. This issue arises from the limited capabilities of Internet Explorer browser used on Pocket PC for the trial.

- **Navigation** - The users seemed happy with all of the features mentioned in this section, with no major problems reported. The only noticeable issues were that some users felt the navigation and direction was not intuitive enough, with some finding the links indistinguishable from the text. This result is quite different to the results documented in [Conlan and Wade, 04], which described the results collected after an evaluation of users using the same course on a standard size screen. On a large screen, the users really liked the navigation and had no difficulty in their navigation of the system, and achieved good spatial orientation when using it.
• **User Support** - They were generally happy with the support provided by the system, with some people feeling that instructions were not available to them at all times.

• **Consistency** - The users were very satisfied with this section, with no major problems regarding the consistency of the system.

• **Non Redundancy** - Again, all of the users agreed that the redundancy was minimal throughout their use of the system.

• **Flexibility** - There was a very mixed reaction by the users to their satisfaction with the flexibility of the system, with most feeling that they had trouble locating a *Quit* option at all times. They also found that they couldn’t necessarily go to whatever module they wanted at any given time.

Overall, the results obtained back from the trial were favorable. The users felt that the personalization instrument was usable and they had no difficulty rebuilding the personalized SQL course to suit their requirements. They also found the navigation easy to use, though there were some issues highlighted relating to the length of content pages. After further questioning the users, it was found that they would use the system for another course, provided the topic was of interest to them. They liked the portability of the course and the ability to set it aside and come back to it when necessary. Again, they mentioned that there was too much vertical scrolling involved in completing the course and that some of the diagrams appeared cramped. These issues give an indication of some of the challenges in delivering personalized eLearning to a PDA and help to define the direction the design and implementation of the interface needs to proceed in order to provide a usable and intuitive user interface to this system for use on a PDA.

5 **FUTURE WORK**

The goal of this initial trial and evaluation of PDA-based eLearning was to investigate the feasibility of using a common layout paradigm for multiple terminal devices. The ultimate goal of this research is to examine the prospect of applying adaptive techniques to the layout of content and interfaces on a variety of different devices and towards different user needs. If user interfaces are adapted for users based on their tasks and individual preferences, they must be of a quality that is comparable to manually constructed interfaces. This paper has examined some of the usability issues associated with using PDAs as a terminal eLearning device for personalized eLearning content. In TCD the future work in this area will explore adaptive layout while ensuring user satisfaction and usability is maximized.
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