

Full abstraction in a linear subtyped π -calculus

Romain Demangeon

(joint work with Kohei Honda)
Queen Mary, University of London

Concurrency Workshop, Dublin, 14/04/2011

Motivations

A minimal π -calculus

- ▶ Framework for process logics.
- ▶ Simple calculus:
 - ▶ Output-asynchronous.
 - ▶ Branching inside prefixes.
- ▶ A linear-affine type systems
 - ▶ Control the use of channels.
- ▶ Good expressiveness:
 - ▶ Embedding usual programmation paradigms.

A theory of subtyping

- ▶ $T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$:
 - ▶ objects of type T_1 can have type T_2 .
 - ▶ T_2 is **more general** than T_1 .
- ▶ Branching defines subtyping.

$\pi^{\{1, \bar{1}, \omega\}}$: a linear-affine π -calculus

Embedding a functional calculus

Embedding a session-based calculus

Our calculus $\pi^{\{1, \bar{1}, \omega\}}$

a, b, c, u, v, x, y : names/channels, I : labels (branching)

$$P ::= (P \mid P) \mid \mathbf{0} \mid X\langle \tilde{v} \rangle \mid (\mu X(\tilde{x}).P)\langle \tilde{v} \rangle \mid \overline{u} \oplus^m I\langle \tilde{v} \rangle \mid u \&_{i \in I}^m \{I_i(\tilde{x}_i).P_i\} \mid (\nu a) P$$

Prefixes

- ▶ $\overline{u} \oplus^m I\langle \tilde{v} \rangle$: selection.
 - ▶ Branching (asynchronous) output.
 - ▶ Select branch labelled I on channel u with message \tilde{v} .
- ▶ $u \&_{i \in I}^m \{I_i(\tilde{x}_i).P_i\}$: choice.
 - ▶ Branching input.
 - ▶ Offers branches $(I_i)_{i \in I}$ with continuations P_i , binding \tilde{x}_i .

Modes

m can be:

- ▶ 1: linear: exactly one action.
- ▶ $\bar{1}$: affine: at most one action.
- ▶ ω : replicated.

Reductions

$$\overline{\bar{u} \oplus^{1/\bar{1}} l_j(v) \mid u \&^{1/\bar{1}}_{i \in I} \{l_i(x_i).P_i\} \longrightarrow P_j\{v/x_j\}}$$

$$\overline{\bar{u} \oplus^{\omega} l_j(v) \mid u \&^{\omega}_{i \in I} \{l_i(x_i).P_i\} \longrightarrow u \&^{\omega}_{i \in I} \{l_i(x_i).P_i\} \mid P_j\{v/x_j\}}$$

- ▶ Reductions happen:
 - ▶ in \mid of spectator processes,
 - ▶ under ν .
- ▶ Structural congruence defined “as usual”.

Typing: prefixes

Types

$$T ::= \&_{i \in I}^m \{l_i(\tilde{T}_i)\} \mid \oplus_{i \in I}^m \{l_i(\tilde{T}_i)\} \mid \mu t. T \mid t \mid \text{uc} \mid \text{N} \mid \text{B} \mid \star$$

- ▶ Typing judgments: $\Gamma \vdash_{\pi} P$

Prefixes

$$(\mathbf{Cho}) \frac{(\Gamma, \tilde{x}_i : \tilde{T}_i \vdash_{\pi} P_i)_{i \in I}}{\Gamma, u : \&_{i \in I}^m \{l_i(\tilde{T}_i)\} \vdash_{\pi} u \&_{i \in I}^m \{l_i(\tilde{x}_i) : P_i\}}$$

- ▶ \overline{T} : dual of T .

Typing: parallel composition

$$(\mathbf{Par}) \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{\pi} P_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash_{\pi} P_2}{\Gamma_1 \odot \Gamma_2 \vdash_{\pi} P_1 \mid P_2}$$

- ▶ Compatibility \odot : way to enforce modes.

Typing: parallel composition

$$(\mathbf{Par}) \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{\pi} P_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash_{\pi} P_2}{\Gamma_1 \odot \Gamma_2 \vdash_{\pi} P_1 \mid P_2}$$

- ▶ Compatibility \odot : way to enforce modes.
- ▶ $T_1 \asymp T_2$:
 - ▶ coherence of (T_1, T_2) .
 - ▶ selections \subseteq choices \Rightarrow " T_1 matches T_2 "
- ▶ Linearity: if $T_1 \asymp T_2$ and $\text{mod}(T_1) = \text{mod}(T_2) = 1$ then
 $(\Gamma_1, u : T_1) \odot (\Gamma_2, u : T_2) = \Gamma_1 \odot \Gamma_2, u : \text{uc}$
uc: cannot be used again.

Typing: Misc.

Shortcuts

In the following:

$$u(x).P_1 = u \&_{i \in \{1\}}^1 \{ l_i(x_i).P_i \}$$

$$!u(x) \quad \overline{u} \langle v \rangle$$

$$\uparrow^m (T) = \oplus_{i \in \{1\}}^m \{ l_i(T) \}$$

$$\downarrow^m (T)$$

Typing: Misc.

Shortcuts

In the following:

$$u(x).P_1 = u \&_{i \in \{1\}}^1 \{ l_i(x_i).P_i \}$$

$$!u(x) \quad \overline{u}\langle v \rangle$$

$$\uparrow^m (T) = \oplus_{i \in \{1\}}^m \{ l_i(T) \}$$

$$\downarrow^m (T)$$

Encoding base types using branching

Base types seen through interaction types:

$$\mathbb{N}^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \downarrow^\omega (\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^1 \{ \mathbf{i}() \}) \quad \mathbb{B}^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \downarrow^\omega (\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{B}}^1 \{ \mathbf{i}() \}) \quad \star^\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \downarrow^\omega (\oplus_{i \in \star}^1 \{ \mathbf{i}() \})$$

Example: a memory cell

$$\mathbf{Mem} = !ref(c, v). c \& \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{l \in \{\text{set}, \text{get}\}} \{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{set}(r, n). & (\overline{r} \langle () \rangle \mid \overline{\text{ref}} \langle c, n \rangle) \\ \text{get}(r). & (\overline{r} \langle v \rangle \mid \overline{\text{ref}} \langle c, v \rangle) \end{array} \}$$

in $\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{Mem} \mid \overline{\text{ref}} \langle cell_1, 0 \rangle \mid \overline{\text{ref}} \langle cell_2, 3 \rangle$

- ▶ **Mem** creates memory references.
- ▶ two “methods” set and get.
- ▶ Type-checked with:

$$T_{ref} = \downarrow^\omega (\& \overline{\mathbb{I}}_{l \in \{\text{set}, \text{get}\}} \{ \begin{array}{l} \text{set}(\uparrow^{\overline{\mathbb{I}}}(\star), N) \\ \text{get}(\uparrow^{\overline{\mathbb{I}}}(N)) \end{array} \}, N)$$

- ▶ Concurrence: value of x in

$\mathbf{E}_1 \mid (\nu ans_1) (\overline{c_1} \oplus \text{set} \langle 1, ans_1 \rangle \mid ans_1) \mid (\nu ans_2) (\overline{c_1} \oplus \text{get} \langle ans_2 \rangle \mid ans_2(x))$

Subtyping

Definition

$T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$ when:

- ▶ $T_{1,2}$ inputs, and T_1 offers more choices than T_2 .
- ▶ $T_{1,2}$ outputs, and T_1 selects among less options than T_2 .

Property: $T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$ iff $\forall T, T \asymp T_2 \Rightarrow T \asymp T_1$.

Subtyping

Definition

$T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$ when:

- ▶ $T_{1,2}$ inputs, and T_1 offers more choices than T_2 .
- ▶ $T_{1,2}$ outputs, and T_1 selects among less options than T_2 .

Property: $T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$ iff $\forall T, T \asymp T_2 \Rightarrow T \asymp T_1$.

Example

Adding a “delete” method:

$$T'_{ref} = \downarrow^\omega (\&^{\bar{1}}_{I \in \{\text{set, get, del}\}} \{ \begin{array}{l} \text{set}(\uparrow^{\bar{1}}(\star), \mathbb{N}) \\ \text{get}(\uparrow^{\bar{1}}(\mathbb{N})) \\ \text{del}(\uparrow^{\bar{1}}(\star)) \end{array} \}, \mathbb{N})$$

We have $T'_{ref} \sqsubseteq T_{ref}$.

$$\mathbf{Mem}' = !ref'(c, v). c \&^{\bar{1}}_{I \in \{\text{set, get, del}\}} \{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{set}(r, new). & (\bar{r} \langle () \rangle \mid \overline{ref'} \langle c, new \rangle) \\ \text{get}(r). & (\bar{r} \langle v \rangle \mid \overline{ref'} \langle c, v \rangle) \\ \text{del}(r). & (\bar{r} \langle () \rangle) \end{array} \}$$

Embedding a functional calculus

The calculus $\lambda^{\Pi, \Sigma, \sqsubseteq}$

$$\begin{aligned} M ::= & M \ M \mid x \mid () \mid \lambda x. M \mid \{I_i. M_i\}_{i \in I} \mid M. I \\ & \mid \text{inj}_i(M) \mid \text{case } M \text{ of } [I_i(x_i). M_i]_{i \in I} \mid \text{Y } M \\ T ::= & \star \mid \mathbb{N} \mid T \rightarrow T \mid \Pi\{I_i : T_i\}_{i \in I} \mid \Sigma[I_i : T_i]_{i \in I} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ PCF-like λ -calculus.
- ▶ Records and variants.
- ▶ Standard types (products, sums).

$$\frac{}{\lambda x. M \ V \rightarrow M\{V/x\}} \qquad \frac{j \in I}{\{I_i : M_i\}_{i \in I}. I_j \rightarrow M_j}$$

$$\frac{j \in I}{\text{case } \text{inj}_{I_j}(V) \text{ of } [I_i(x_i). M_i]_{i \in I} \rightarrow M_j\{V/x_j\}} \qquad \frac{}{\text{Y } V \longrightarrow V \ (\text{Y } V)}$$

Types embedding

$$\langle \star \rangle = \uparrow^{\bar{1}} (\star)$$

$$\langle \prod\{l_i : T_i\}_{i \in I} \rangle = \uparrow^{\bar{1}} (\&_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i(\langle T_i \rangle)\})$$

$$\langle \sum\{l_i : T_i\}_{i \in I} \rangle = \uparrow^{\bar{1}} (\oplus_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i(\overline{\langle T_i \rangle})\})$$

$$\langle T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rangle = \uparrow^{\bar{1}} (\&_{i \in I}^m \{l_i(\overline{T_i}, \langle T_2 \rangle)\}) \quad \text{if } \langle T_1 \rangle = \oplus_{i \in I}^m \{l_i(T_i)\}$$

- ▶ Product type → choice type.
- ▶ Sum type → selection type.
- ▶ Arrow type → choice type:
 - ▶ Decomposition of the argument.
 - ▶ $B \rightarrow T$ becomes $\uparrow^{\bar{1}} (\&_{I \in \{\text{true, false}\}}^{\omega} \{\text{true}(T); \text{false}(T)\})$

Terms embedding

$$\langle M \ N \rangle_u^\zeta = (\nu m, n) (\langle M \rangle_m^\zeta \mid \langle N \rangle_n^\zeta \mid m(y).n \&_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i(x_i).y \oplus^{\omega} l_i \langle x_i, u \rangle\}) \\ \text{if } M \text{ has type } T \rightarrow T' \text{ with } \langle T \rangle = \oplus_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i(T_i)\}$$

$$\langle \lambda x. M \rangle_u^\zeta = (\nu c) (\overline{u} \langle c \rangle.c \&_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i(x_i, m). \langle M \rangle_m^{\zeta, x \mapsto (l_i, x_i)}\}) \\ \text{if } \lambda x. M \text{ has type } T \rightarrow T' \text{ with } \langle T \rangle = \oplus_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i(T_i)\}$$

$$\langle x \rangle_u^{\zeta, x \mapsto (l_i, x_i)} = \overline{u} \oplus^{\omega} l_i \langle x_i \rangle$$

- ▶ Close to the standard $\lambda \rightarrow \pi$ encoding.
- ▶ Parametrised by:
 - ▶ return channel u
 - ▶ environment ζ : λ -variable x to choice (l_i, x_i)
- ▶ Inside indirections: decomposition of arguments.

Example: Option type

$$F^{opt} = \lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } [\begin{array}{cc} \text{some}(x) & (F x) \\ \text{none}(x) & 0 \end{array}]_{I \in \{\text{some}, \text{none}\}}$$

- ▶ $F^{opt} : (\text{some} : \mathbb{N}) + (\text{none} : ()) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
 - ▶ partial version of the function $F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Encoding in $\pi^{\{1, \bar{1}, \omega\}}$:

$$(\nu v) \bar{u} \langle v \rangle . v \&_{I \in \{\text{some}, \text{none}\}} \{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{some}(x, b). & (\nu f, a) (\langle F \rangle_f^\emptyset \mid \bar{a} \oplus^{\bar{1}} \text{some}(x) \mid \mathbf{App}) \\ \text{none}(x, b). & \bar{b} \langle 0 \rangle \end{array} \}$$

where **App** is $f(y). a \&_{I \in \{\text{some}, \text{none}\}} \{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{some}(z). & \bar{y} \oplus^{\bar{1}} \text{some}(z, b) \\ \text{none}(z). & \bar{y} \oplus^{\bar{1}} \text{none}(z, b) \end{array} \}$

- ▶ Indirection inside **App**.

Full abstraction and subtyping

Theorem

Let M_1, M_2 be two $\lambda^{\Pi, \Sigma, \sqsubseteq}$ terms, then $M_1 \simeq_{\lambda} M_2$ if and only if $\langle M_1 \rangle_u^\emptyset \simeq_{\pi} \langle M_2 \rangle_u^\emptyset$ under sequential typing.

- ▶ \simeq_{λ} : Morris's congruence.
- ▶ \simeq_{π} : barbed congruence.
- ▶ Proof technique:
 - ▶ Restriction of $\pi^{\{1, \bar{1}, \omega\}}$: deterministic π .
 - ▶ Finite definability.

Subtyping

- ▶ Standard subtyping for $\lambda^{\Pi, \Sigma, \sqsubseteq}$ (products, sums).
- ▶ Theorem: If $T_1 \sqsubseteq T_2$, then $\langle T_1 \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle T_2 \rangle$.

Embedding a session-based calculus

π^{session} - a session-based calculus

$$\begin{aligned} P ::= & \ u(x).P \mid \bar{u}(x).P \mid k!l\langle v \rangle.P \mid k?\{(x_i).P_i\}_{i \in I} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } P \text{ else } P \\ & \mid (\nu a)P \mid P|P \mid (\mu X(\tilde{x}).P)\langle \tilde{v} \rangle \mid X\langle \tilde{v} \rangle \mid \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Two kinds of names a, b, c : channels: u, v / sessions: k, s .
- ▶ Notable features:
 - ▶ Synchronous outputs.
 - ▶ Session types (with subtyping):
 - ▶ Types:

$$\begin{aligned} T, S ::= & \ \&_{i \in I}^{\text{ses}} \{I_i(T_i).S_i\} \mid \oplus_{i \in I}^{\text{ses}} \{I_i(T_i).S_i\} \\ & \mid \downarrow^{\text{ses}}(S) \mid \uparrow^{\text{ses}}(S) \mid \mu S.S \mid \mathbb{N} \mid \star \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Output rule:

$$(\text{SSel}) \frac{\Gamma(v) = T'_j \quad \Gamma, s : S_j \vdash_{\text{ses}} P \quad T'_j \sqsubseteq \overline{T}_j}{\Gamma, s : \oplus_{i \in I}^{\text{ses}} \{I_i(\overline{T}_i).S_i\} \vdash_{\text{ses}} s!l_j\langle v \rangle.P}$$

The Embedding

$$[\![u(x).P]\!] = u(x, \textcolor{blue}{c}).(\bar{c} \mid [\![P]\!])$$

$$[\!\bar{u}(x).P]\!] = (\nu x, \textcolor{blue}{c}) (\bar{u}\langle x, \textcolor{blue}{c} \rangle \mid c.[\![P]\!])$$

$$[\![k!I\langle e \rangle.P]\!] = (\nu \textcolor{blue}{c}) (k \oplus^{\bar{1}} I\langle e, \textcolor{blue}{c} \rangle \mid \textcolor{blue}{c}(\textcolor{red}{s}).[\![P]\!]\{\textcolor{red}{s}/k\})$$

$$[\![k?\{l_i(x_i).P_i\}_{i \in I}]\!] = (\nu \textcolor{red}{s}) k\&_{i \in I}^{\bar{1}} \{l_i(x_i, \textcolor{blue}{c}).([\![P_i]\!]\{\textcolor{red}{s}/k\} \mid \bar{c}\langle \textcolor{red}{s} \rangle)\}$$

- ▶ New names $\textcolor{blue}{c}$ for synchronising outputs.
- ▶ New names $\textcolor{red}{s}$ for carrying *session types* information.
- ▶ Encoding of types:

$$[\![\&_{i \in I}^{\text{ses}} \{l_i(T_i).S_i\}]\!] = \&_{i \in I}^{\omega} \{l_i([\![T_i]\!], \uparrow^1 (\overline{[\![S_i]\!]}))\}$$

Example

$$\mathbf{S} = \bar{a}(x).x?(z_2).x!(z_2) \mid a(y).(y!(0).y?(z_1))$$

- ▶ **S**: two subprocesses, one session (x/y).
- ▶ Encoding:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{S} \rrbracket = (\nu x, c)(\bar{a}\langle x, c \rangle \mid c.(\nu k_2) (x(z_2, c_3).(\bar{c}_3\langle k_2 \rangle \mid \bar{k}_2\langle z_2, c_4 \rangle \mid c_4))) \\ \mid a(y, c_0).(\bar{c}_0 \mid (\nu c_1) (\bar{y}\langle 0, c_1 \rangle \mid c_1(k_1).(\nu c_2) k_1(z_1, c_2).\bar{c}_2))$$

- ▶ Session y becomes k_1/k_2 after one synchronisation.
- ▶ c_1 ensures asynchronous output on y .

Full abstraction and subtyping

Theorem

Let P, Q be two π^{session} processes s.t. $\Gamma \vdash_{\pi} P$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\pi} Q$, then $P \simeq_{\text{test}} Q$ if and only if $\llbracket P \rrbracket \simeq_{\text{test}} \llbracket Q \rrbracket$.

- ▶ \simeq_{test} : testing congruence (may/must congruence).
- ▶ Proof technique: Definability + Adequacy.

Subtyping

If $S_1 \sqsubseteq S_2$, then $\llbracket S_1 \rrbracket \sqsubseteq \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket$

Future works

- ▶ Embeddings:
 - ▶ Polymorphism (in π , System F), subtyping.
 - ▶ Multiparty session types.
- ▶ Logical framework for concurrency:
 - ▶ Expressing properties of programs with processes
 - ▶ Embedding logics (HML, temporal logics).

The End.